

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/015,616

Applicant(s)

N rvell et al.

Examiner

Cheryl Juska

Group Art Unit
1771

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Cheryl Juska

(3) _____

(2) Carol White

(4) _____

Date of Interview Feb 16, 2000

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description:

Samples of the present invention and the cited Henn patent were presented, along with photomicrographs of said samples.

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: all pending

Identification of prior art discussed:

US 5,026,591 issued to Henn et al.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

After discussing the samples presented, we talked about the working examples of the specification. It appears the distinguishing feature of the present invention over the cited prior art is the amount of flock present, a feature which is not presently claimed. White agreed to pursue this issue further with the client and mentioned the possibility of an affidavit from Henn. We also discussed the objections to the specification and the 112 rejections, and an agreement was reached on these issues. However, we did not come to an agreement on the prior art rejections.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.



Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.